

Search for strong production with at least three b -jets + E_T^{miss} , [1]

Energy: 8 TeV

Luminosity: 20.1 fb^{-1}

Validation notes:

- Validation has been performed versus all published cutflows, see Tables 1 to 3.
 - The Monte-Carlo generator was Herwig++ 2.5.2 [2] for models with b -quark final states, see Table 1.
 - The Monte-Carlo generator was MadGraph5-v1.5.12 [3] and showered with Pythia 6.420 [4] with upto 2 additional QCD partons using the MLM [5] matching algorithm in the final state for models with t -quark final states, see Tables 2 and 3.
 - Cross-sections calculated with NLL-Fast 2.1 [6, 7, 8, 9, 10].
- B-tagging efficiency was reduced by 3% compared with the nominal value in the experimental paper to better agree with cutflow data.
- Triggers are fully efficient for signal regions.

Process Point Channel	$pp \rightarrow \tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow b\bar{b}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ $m(\tilde{g}) = 1300 \text{ GeV}, m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = 100 \text{ GeV}$ 0 Lepton, 4 Jets					
Source	ATLAS			CheckMATE		
No selection	100 %			- %		
Jet/Event cleaning*	(98.2 ± 0) %			- %		
Cosmic μ rejection*	(98.2 ± 0) %			- %		
$\geq 4 j (p_T > 30 \text{ GeV})$	(95.4 ± 0.1) %			(94.6 ± 0.1) %		
1st jet $p_T > 90 \text{ GeV}$	(95.4 ± 0.1) %			(94.6 ± 0.1) %		
$E_T > 150 \text{ GeV}$	(88.7 ± 0.1) %			(88.0 ± 0.1) %		
Electron veto	(88.7 ± 0.1) %			(86.1 ± 0.1) %		
Muon veto	(88.2 ± 0.1) %			(85.7 ± 0.1) %		
$\Delta\phi_{min}^{4j} > 0.5$	(58.5 ± 0.2) %			(59.9 ± 0.2) %		
$E_T/m_{\text{eff}}^{4j} > 0.2$	(46.2 ± 0.2) %			(48.7 ± 0.2) %		
Signal region cuts	SR-0l-4j-A		SR-0l-4j-B		SR-0l-4j-C	
Source	ATLAS	C.-	ATLAS	C.-	ATLAS	C.-
		MATE		MATE		MATE
$\geq 4j, p_T > 30, 50, 50$	46.2 ± 0.2	48.7 ± 0.2	42.8 ± 0.2	45.3 ± 0.2	42.8 ± 0.2	45.3 ± 0.2
$\geq 3b, p_T > 30, 50, 50$	20.5 ± 0.1	20.0 ± 0.1	17.9 ± 0.1	17.5 ± 0.1	17.9 ± 0.1	17.4 ± 0.1
$E_T > 200, 350, 250$	20.5 ± 0.1	19.9 ± 0.1	16.2 ± 0.1	15.7 ± 0.1	17.4 ± 0.1	17.1 ± 0.1
$m_{\text{eff}}^{\text{incl}} > 1000, 1100, 1300$	20.3 ± 0.1	19.7 ± 0.1	15.9 ± 0.1	15.6 ± 0.1	15.9 ± 0.1	16.1 ± 0.1
$E_T/\sqrt{H_T^{4j}} > 16, 0, 0$	10.8 ± 0.1	10.9 ± 0.1	-	-	-	-

Table 1: Cutflow validation for atlas_conf_2013_061, testing gluino pair production decaying into bottom quarks. The cutflow is given as an absolute efficiency in % for each step of event selection. Final error displayed on signal regions is due to finite Monte Carlo statistics. *No cosmic muon rejection or event cleaning is performed by CheckMATE, instead a flat efficiency factor is included.

Process Point Channel	$pp \rightarrow \tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow t\bar{t}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ $m(\tilde{g}) = 1300 \text{ GeV}, m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = 100 \text{ GeV}$ 0 Lepton, 7 Jets					
Source	ATLAS			CheckMATE		
No selection	100 %		- %		- %	
Jet and Event cleaning *	$(98.4 \pm 0) \%$		- %		- %	
Cosmic muon rejection *	$(97.2 \pm 0.1) \%$		- %		- %	
≥ 4 jets ($p_T > 30 \text{ GeV}$)	$(96.9 \pm 0.1) \%$		$(97.6 \pm 0) \%$		- %	
1st jet $p_T > 90 \text{ GeV}$	$(96.9 \pm 0.1) \%$		$(97.5 \pm 0.1) \%$		- %	
$E_T > 150 \text{ GeV}$	$(88.3 \pm 0.1) \%$		$(87.7 \pm 0.1) \%$		- %	
Electron veto	$(59.7 \pm 0.2) \%$		$(60.2 \pm 0.2) \%$		- %	
Muon veto	$(41.8 \pm 0.2) \%$		$(40.7 \pm 0.2) \%$		- %	
$\Delta\phi_{min}^{4j} > 0.5$	$(30.0 \pm 0.2) \%$		$(29.6 \pm 0.1) \%$		- %	
$E_T/m_{\text{eff}}^{4j} > 0.2$	$(25.9 \pm 0.1) \%$		$(25.4 \pm 0.1) \%$		- %	
≥ 7 jets ($p_T > 30 \text{ GeV}$)	$(24.6 \pm 0.1) \%$		$(24.1 \pm 0.1) \%$		- %	
≥ 3 b-jets ($p_T > 30 \text{ GeV}$)	$(11.5 \pm 0.1) \%$		$(11.4 \pm 0.1) \%$		- %	
Signal region cuts	SR-0l-7j-A		SR-0l-7j-B		SR-0l-7j-C	
Source	ATLAS	C.-	ATLAS	C.-	ATLAS	C.-
	MATE		MATE		MATE	
$E_T > 200, 350, 250$	11.3 ± 0.1	11.3 ± 0.1	9.2 ± 0.1	8.9 ± 0.1	10.8 ± 0.1	10.8 ± 0.1
$m_{\text{eff}}^{incl} > 1000, 1000, 1500$	11.3 ± 0.1	11.2 ± 0.1	9.2 ± 0.1	8.9 ± 0.1	9.5 ± 0.1	9.2 ± 0.1

Table 2: Cutflow validation for atlas_conf_2013_061, testing gluino pair production decaying into top quarks with no signal leptons. The cutflow is given as an absolute efficiency in % for each step of event selection. Final error displayed on signal regions is due to finite Monte Carlo statistics. *No cosmic muon rejection or event cleaning is performed by CheckMATE, instead a flat efficiency factor is included.

Process Point	$pp \rightarrow \tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow t\bar{t}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ $m(\tilde{g}) = 1300 \text{ GeV}, m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = 100 \text{ GeV}$					
Channel Source	1 Lepton					
	ATLAS			CheckMATE		
No selection	100 %			- %		
Jet and Event cleaning *	(98.4 ± 0) %			- %		
Cosmic muon rejection *	(97.2 ± 0.1) %			- %		
≥ 4 jets ($p_T > 30 \text{ GeV}$)	(96.9 ± 0.1) %			(97.6 ± 0) %		
1st jet $p_T > 90 \text{ GeV}$	(96.8 ± 0.1) %			(97.5 ± 0.1) %		
$E_T > 150 \text{ GeV}$	(88.3 ± 0.1) %			(87.5 ± 0.1) %		
≥ 1 signal lepton	(37.0 ± 0.2) %			(40.6 ± 0.2) %		
≥ 6 jets ($p_T > 30 \text{ GeV}$)	(33.8 ± 0.2) %			(36.7 ± 0.2) %		
≥ 3 b -jets ($p_T > 30 \text{ GeV}$)	(14.3 ± 0.1) %			(16.1 ± 0.1) %		
Signal region cuts	SR-1l-6j-A		SR-1l-6j-B		SR-1l-6j-C	
Source	ATLAS	C.-	ATLAS	C.-	ATLAS	C.-
		MATE		MATE		MATE
$m_T > 140, 140, 160 \text{ GeV}$	11.3 ± 0.1	12.0 ± 0.1	11.3 ± 0.1	12.0 ± 0.1	10.7 ± 0.1	11.3 ± 0.1
$E_T > 175, 225, 275 \text{ GeV}$	10.9 ± 0.1	11.6 ± 0.1	10.0 ± 0.1	10.7 ± 0.1	8.8 ± 0.1	9.3 ± 0.1
$E_T/\sqrt{H_T} > 5 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$	10.8 ± 0.1	11.3 ± 0.1	10.0 ± 0.1	10.6 ± 0.1	8.8 ± 0.1	9.3 ± 0.1
$m_{\text{eff}} > 700, 800, 900 \text{ GeV}$	10.8 ± 0.1	11.3 ± 0.1	10.0 ± 0.1	10.6 ± 0.1	8.8 ± 0.1	9.2 ± 0.1

Table 3: Cutflow validation for atlas_conf_2013_061, testing gluino pair production decaying into top quarks with one signal lepton. The cutflow is given as an absolute efficiency in % for each step of event selection. Final error displayed on signal regions is due to finite Monte Carlo statistics. *No cosmic muon rejection or event cleaning is performed by CheckMATE, instead a flat efficiency factor is included.

References

- [1] Search for strong production of supersymmetric particles in final states with missing transverse momentum and at least three b-jets using 20.1 fb⁻¹ of pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector., Tech. Rep. ATLAS-CONF-2013-061, CERN, Geneva (Jun 2013).
- [2] M. Bahr, S. Gieseke, M. Gigg, D. Grellscheid, K. Hamilton, et al., Herwig++ Physics and Manual, *Eur.Phys.J.* C58 (2008) 639–707. arXiv:0803.0883, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-008-0798-9.
- [3] J. Alwall, M. Herquet, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, T. Stelzer, MadGraph 5 : Going Beyond, *JHEP* 1106 (2011) 128. arXiv:1106.0522, doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2011)128.
- [4] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna, P. Z. Skands, PYTHIA 6.4 Physics and Manual, *JHEP* 0605 (2006) 026. arXiv:hep-ph/0603175, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/026.
- [5] M. L. Mangano, M. Moretti, F. Piccinini, M. Treccani, Matching matrix elements and shower evolution for top-quark production in hadronic collisions, *JHEP* 0701 (2007) 013. arXiv:hep-ph/0611129, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/01/013.
- [6] W. Beenakker, R. Hopker, M. Spira, P. Zerwas, Squark and gluino production at hadron colliders, *Nucl.Phys.* B492 (1997) 51–103. arXiv:hep-ph/9610490, doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(97)80027-2.
- [7] W. Beenakker, M. Kramer, T. Plehn, M. Spira, P. Zerwas, Stop production at hadron colliders, *Nucl.Phys.* B515 (1998) 3–14. arXiv:hep-ph/9710451, doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(98)00014-5.
- [8] W. Beenakker, S. Brening, M. Kramer, A. Kulesza, E. Laenen, et al., Soft-gluon resummation for squark and gluino hadroproduction, *JHEP* 0912 (2009) 041. arXiv:0909.4418, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/12/041.
- [9] W. Beenakker, S. Brening, M. Kramer, A. Kulesza, E. Laenen, et al., Supersymmetric top and bottom squark production at hadron colliders, *JHEP* 1008 (2010) 098. arXiv:1006.4771, doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2010)098.
- [10] W. Beenakker, S. Brening, M. Kramer, A. Kulesza, E. Laenen, et al., Squark and Gluino Hadroproduction, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* A26 (2011) 2637–2664. arXiv:1105.1110, doi:10.1142/S0217751X11053560.